

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,700	09/17/2003	Shinji Kimura	1288.43131X00	3969	
7590 10/26/2006			EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			WALTER, CRAIG E		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2188		

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/663,700	KIMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Craig E. Walter	2188		

	Craig E. Walter	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a)  They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally rej		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 19</u> .		;	•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	15	>
	HYUNGSOUGH		
SUPÉR	VISORY PATERIT EXAMINER	Craig E Walter Examiner AU 2188	; .

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has modified the scope of several independent claims by way of amendment requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant has modified the scope of several independent claims by way of amendment after prosecution was closed in the Office action made final on 11 August 2006. According to MPEP § 714.13 II., "It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter or right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims". Such changes to the claims would require further search and consideration to determine if the amendments place the application in condition for allowance.